

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,594	05/15/2006	Stephen William Sankey	DTG1-126US	1832
31344 7590 06/24/2009 RATNERPRESTIA		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1596 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
WILMINGTO	IN, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,594	SANKEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William P. Watkins III	1794	

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William P. Watkins III	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  I NO period for reply is specified above, the macroman statutory period was a common statutory period with the provision of the p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M.     2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-27 is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine: 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure-Statemant(e) (PTO/SE/CB) Paper Not)(s)(Mail Date  Paper Not)(s)(Mail Date  Paper Not)(s)(Mail Date  Paper Not)(s)(Mail Date  PAPER NOTICE (PTO/SE/CB)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)Mail Da 5 Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/564,594 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The oath or declaration filed 07 May 2009 is defective. A new oath or declaration
in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number
and filling date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

Each inventor must sign a complete declaration. The declaration filed 07 May 2009 has duplicate pages that have been combined into a single declaration. This is improper (see MPEP 605.04(a)I).

- 2. The art rejection given in section 4 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 11 December 2008 is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments filed 11 March 2009. I particular the solubility of the polyester in Example 1 of Isakson et al. is to solvents and not water as required by the instant claim language. A modified ground of rejection is given below.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isakson et al. (U.S. 4.640.838) in view of Dvke (U.S. 4.515.841). Application/Control Number: 10/564,594 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Isakson teaches a vent opening that is covered by a tape that has a layer that is weakened by heating during microwave cooking of food (Figure 4, Example 1). Dyke teaches the use of either a polymer that softens when it is heated or a water soluble polymer such as polyvinyl alcohol that absorbs steam and expands and dissolves to form an opening when a water containing substance is heated in a package (abstract). The instant invention claims a water soluble barrier layer over an opening in a thermal sealed package with a vent hole that is covered by a barrier of a water soluble material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a water soluble instead of a heat sensitive barrier laver in Isakson et al. because Dyke teaches these as alternate substitutes in the bag venting art when the contents of the package or the environment in which it is processed contain water. Variation in the thickness of the soluble layer and variation in the selection of bag substrate and soluble barrier materials is taken as being within the ordinary skill of the art depending on the strength of the seal desired and the desired opening temperatures and pressures, absent unexpected results.

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The

Application/Control Number: 10/564,594 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="https://pair-direct.uspto.gov">https://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WW/ww June 24, 2009

/William P. Watkins III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794